

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2 and 4-10 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1 and 4-7 are amended, and claim 10 is added. Claim 3 is canceled with prejudice to, or disclaimer of, the subject matter therein.

In the first instance of paragraph 1 on page 2, the Office Action objects to the drawings. The objection does not specify deficiencies in the drawings, but instead requests that the drawings be amended so that all parts are labeled in every figure in which they appear. It is believed that the drawings in the specification are in compliance with the applicable requirements of the rules. Further, each of the objections specifically detailed in the Office Action has been addressed. Also, Fig. 3 is amended to clarify the part that is the lower light guide 471. If anything has been inadvertently overlooked, it is requested that the next Office Action specify further objections.

In the second instance of a paragraph 1 on page 2, the Office Action suggests an amendment for page 7 of the application. Page 7, line 7 and page 7, line 15 are amended consistent with the suggestion in the Office Action.

In paragraph 2 on page 3, the Office Action objects to the brief description of Figs. 17A and 17B. In response, the paragraph beginning on page 7, line 13 is amended to clearly indicate that the brief description of Fig. 17 originally filed applies to both Fig. 17A and Fig. 17B.

In paragraph 3 on page 3, the Office Action objects to the drawings because they do not include reference sign 472. It is respectfully asserted that Fig. 6 clearly shows a light guide 472. The paragraph beginning on page 7, line 22, is amended to clarify that the light guide 472 is not shown in Fig. 4.

In paragraph 4 on page 3, the Office Action objects to the drawings because the lamp 411 is not labeled in Figs 4 and 6. In support of this objection, the Office Action cites page 9, lines 18-23 of the application. In response, page 9, line 18 is amended.

In paragraph 5 beginning on page 3, the Office Action objects to the drawings because cross dichroic prism 45 is not labeled in Fig. 4. In response, page 9, line 29 of the application is amended to clarify that the cross dichroic prism 45 is shown in Fig 7.

In paragraph 6 on page 4, the Office Action objects to the drawings because second condenser lens 419 is not labeled in Fig. 4. In response, page 9, line 29 of the application is amended to clarify that the second condenser lens 419 is shown in Fig 7.

In paragraph 7 on page 4, the Office Action objects to the drawings because field lens 417 is not labeled in Fig. 4. In response, page 9, line 29 of the application is amended to clarify that the field lens 417 is shown in Fig 7.

In paragraph 8 on page 4, the Office Action objects to the drawings because they do not include reference sign 23B in Fig. 8. In response, the paragraph beginning on page 14, line 22, is amended to clarify that the recess 23B is shown in Fig. 14, not Fig. 8. Fig. 14 is amended to include the reference character for the recess 23B.

In paragraph 9 on page 5, the Office Action objects to the Abstract of the Disclosure. A replacement Abstract is included with this response. It is respectfully submitted that the replacement Abstract complies with the applicable requirements.

In paragraph 10, beginning on page 5, the Office Action objects to the Disclosure for specified informalities. As an initial example, the Office Action refers to the third paragraph on page 1. The third and fourth paragraphs on page 1 are amended. It is respectfully submitted that these and the other amendments to the specification correct the grammatical errors pointed out by the Office Action. If additional corrections have been missed, it is requested that a subsequent Office Action specify the desired corrections.

At the top of page 6, the Office Action specifies an objection to page 12, line 24 of the application. In this objection, the Office Action quotes a fragment of a sentence. It appears that the Office Action has inadvertently interpreted a fragment of a sentence to be a complete sentence. Page 12, line 24 is a continuation of a sentence that begins on page 12, line 23 of the application. The sentence refers to, "cooling system A". It is respectfully submitted that reference character A, indicative of the described cooling system, is clearly shown in the Figs. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that no correction is necessary to page 12, line 24 of the application.

Next, the Office Action specifies an informality on page 12, lines 27 and 29 of the application. In response, "silocco" is corrected to "sirocco".

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the drawings and the specification are in compliance with the applicable rules. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the objections to the drawings and the specification detailed in the Office Action be withdrawn.

In paragraph 11 on page 6, the Office Action objects to claim 4. This objection is respectfully traversed. It is respectfully submitted that the word "turnable" clearly means, "able to be turned." Further, on page 16, lines 21-28, the application clearly describes a button that is able to be turned. For at least the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that claim 4 as originally filed is clear as required by the applicable statute and rules. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the objection to claim 4 be withdrawn.

In paragraph 12 on page 6, the Office Action objects to claim 5. Claim 5 is amended to provide sufficient antecedent basis for the specified limitation in the claim. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the objection to claim 5 be withdrawn.

In paragraph 14, beginning on page 7, the Office Action rejects claims 1-4 and 7-9 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No.

US2002/0113951 to Huang et al. (hereinafter "Huang"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 3 is canceled with prejudice to, or disclaimer of, the subject matter therein.

Claims 4, 6 and 7 are amended so as not to depend from canceled claim 3. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 7-9 recite, "a turn lever held in a manner turnable relative to the casing. . . ." Huang discloses that the movement of the lever 40 is rotation. However, the "lever" 40 of Huang corresponds to the claimed clamp, not the claimed lever. The turn lever recited in the claims is provided to the link mechanism. The link mechanism corresponds to the compression link 30 in Huang. The compression link 30 of Huang does not move in a turnable manner as claimed. Rather, the compression link 30 of Huang merely moves in the direction of an external force applied on the first end 30a.

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that Huang neither discloses, teaches nor suggests the combination as claimed in claims 1, 2, 4 and 7-9.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 1-4 and 7-9 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang be withdrawn.

Allowable claim 6 is split into claims 6 and 10.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1, 2 and 4-10 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

Mark R. Woodall
Registration No. 43,286

JAO:MRW/aaw

Attachment:
Amended Abstract

Date: October 22, 2003

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--